

Review Form

H. Results

- Results are clearly and logically presented
- Results correspond to the stated objectives
- Tables are clear, properly labeled, and relevant
- Figures are appropriate and of good quality
- Data are not duplicated between text and tables

I. Discussion

- Results are interpreted correctly
- Findings are compared with previous studies
- Agreements or discrepancies with literature are discussed
- Study strengths are addressed
- Study limitations are acknowledged

J. Conclusion

- Conclusions are clear and concise
- Conclusions are supported by results
- No overgeneralisation or unsupported claims
- Implications for practice or research are stated

K. References

- References are relevant and adequate
- Recent literature is included
- References are correctly cited in the text
- Journal reference style is followed

L. Language & Presentation

- Manuscript is well organised
- Academic language is clear and understandable
- Grammar and spelling are acceptable
- Overall presentation meets publication standards

M. Overall Evaluation

- Manuscript requires no revision
- Manuscript requires minor revision
- Manuscript requires major revision
- Manuscript is not suitable for publication

N. Reviewer Comments

- Comments to the Author provided (text box)
- Confidential comments to the Editor provided (text box)

O. Final Recommendation (*Single choice*)

- Accept
- Minor Revision
- Major Revision
- Reject

A. Journal Scope & Originality

- Manuscript fits the aims and scope of the journal
- Topic is relevant to medical sciences
- Study provides original or novel findings
- Manuscript has scientific and academic value

B. Title

- Title is clear and concise
- Title accurately reflects the study content
- Title is appropriate for the study design

C. Abstract

- Abstract is structured appropriately
- Study objectives are clearly stated
- Methods are adequately summarised
- Key results are clearly presented
- Conclusions are supported by results

D. Keywords

- Keywords are relevant to the study
- Keywords are appropriate for indexing

E. Introduction

- Background information is sufficient
- Literature review is relevant and up to date
- Research gap/problem is clearly identified
- Study objectives or hypotheses are clearly stated

F. Materials and Methods

- Study design is appropriate
- Study setting and duration are clearly described
- Inclusion and exclusion criteria are defined
- Sample size is adequate and justified
- Data collection methods are clearly explained
- Statistical methods are appropriate
- Software used for analysis is stated
- Methodology allows reproducibility

G. Ethical Considerations

- Ethical approval is mentioned
- Ethical committee name/reference is provided
- Informed consent is stated (if applicable)
- Animal/human research guidelines are followed